

RANK 2 STABLE SHEAVES WITH ODD DETERMINANT ON FANO THREEFOLDS OF GENUS 9.

MARIA CHIARA BRAMBILLA AND DANIELE FAENZI

ABSTRACT. By the description due to Mukai and Iliev, a smooth prime Fano threefold X of genus 9 is associated to a surface $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$, ruled over a smooth plane quartic Γ . We use Kuznetsov's integral functor to study rank-2 stable sheaves on X with odd determinant. For each $c_2 \geq 7$, we prove that a component of their moduli space $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$ is birational to a Brill-Noether locus of bundles on Γ having enough sections when twisted by $\mathcal{V}$.

Moreover we prove that $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ is isomorphic to the blowing-up of the Picard variety $\mathrm{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ along the curve parametrizing lines contained in X .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective threefold, whose Picard group is generated by an ample divisor H_X . We consider Maruyama's coarse moduli scheme $\mathbf{M}_X(r, c_1, c_2)$ of H_X -semistable rank r sheaves F on X with $c_i(F) = c_i$ and $c_3(F) = 0$.

Little is known about this space in general, but many results are available in special cases. For instance, rank 2 bundles on $\mathbb{P}^3$ have been intensively studied since [Bar77].

Since [AHD78] and [AW77], the case which has attracted most attention is that of *instanton bundles*, i.e. stable rank 2 bundles F with $c_1(F) = 0$, $H^2(\mathbb{P}^3, F(-2)) = 0$. Their moduli space is known to be smooth and irreducible for $c_2(F) \leq 5$, see [KO03], [CTT03] and references therein. The starting points in the investigation of this case are Beilinson's theorem and the notion of monad, see [BH78], [OSS80].

Now, if one desires to set up a similar analysis over a threefold X other than $\mathbb{P}^3$, one direction is to look at Fano threefolds. Recall that if the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is linearly equivalent to $i_X H_X$, for some positive integer i_X , then the variety X is called a *Fano threefold* of index i_X . These varieties are in fact completely classified by Iskovskih and later by Mukai, see [IP99] and references therein.

Our aim is to study the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_X(2, c_1, c_2)$ on a Fano threefold X of index $i_X = 1$. Recall that the genus of a Fano threefold X of index 1 is defined as $g = H_X^3/2 + 1$. Notice that, since the rank of a sheaf F in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, c_1, c_2)$ is 2, one can assume $c_1 \in \{0, 1\}$. Accordingly, we speak of bundles with odd or even determinant.

Let us focus on the case of odd determinant. One sees that $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$ is empty for $c_2 < m_g = \lceil g/2 \rceil + 1$. The case of minimal $c_2 = m_g$ is well understood (see for instance [IM04a] for genus 7, [IR05] for genus 9, [Kuz96] for genus 12). For higher c_2 , we are aware of the results contained in [IM07b], [AF06], [IM07a], [BF08a], where only the last two papers study also the boundary of $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J60. Secondary 14H30, 14F05, 14D20.

Key words and phrases. Prime Fano threefolds of genus 9. Moduli space of vector bundles. Semiorthogonal decomposition. Brill-Noether theory. Stable vector bundles on curves.

The first author was partially supported by INDAM and MIUR. The second author was partially supported by GRIFGA and MIUR. .

This paper, together with [BF08a], is devoted to the study of the space $M_X(2, 1, c_2)$ for $c_2 > m_g$, with a special emphasis on $c_2 = m_g + 1$. Our main idea is to make use of Kuznetsov's semiorthogonal decomposition of the derived category of X (see [Kuz06]), to develop a suitable homological method, thus rephrasing the language of monads and Beilinson's theorem.

More precisely, in this paper we focus on Fano threefolds X of genus 9. Recall that, by a result of Mukai, [Muk88], [Muk89], the variety X is a linear section of the Lagrangian Grassmannian sixfold Σ . We consider the orthogonal plane quartic Γ , and the integral functor $\Phi^! : \mathbf{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)$, according to Kuznetsov's theorem, [Kuz06]. The functor is right adjoint to the fully faithful functor Φ , provided by the universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $X \times \Gamma$ for the fine moduli space $\Gamma \cong M_X(2, 1, 6)$. Recall that the threefold X is associated to a rank 2 stable bundle $\mathcal{V}$ on Γ , in such a way that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_2^0(X)$ of conics contained in X , see [Ili03].

For any $d \geq 7$, we proved in [BF08a] that there exists a component $M(d)$ of $M_X(2, 1, d)$, whose general element is a vector bundle F with $H^k(X, F(-1)) = 0$, for all k . Here we investigate in details the properties of $M(d)$.

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem. *The map $\varphi : F \mapsto \Phi^!(F)$ gives:*

A) *for any $d \geq 8$, a birational map of $M(d)$ to a generically smooth $(2d - 11)$ -dimensional component of the Brill-Noether locus:*

$$\{\mathcal{F} \in M_\Gamma(d - 6, d - 5) \mid h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \geq d - 6\};$$

B) *an isomorphism of $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ with the blowing up of $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ along a curve isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ of lines contained in X . The exceptional divisor consists of the sheaves in $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ which are not globally generated.*

In particular we prove that $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ is an irreducible threefold which is smooth as soon as $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ is smooth. Note that this result closely resembles that of [Dru00], [IM00], [MT01], regarding rank 2 sheaves on a smooth cubic threefold in $\mathbb{P}^4$, and relying on the Abel-Jacobi mapping.

The paper is organized as follows. In the following section we set up some notation. Then, in Section 3, we review the geometry of prime Fano threefolds X of genus 9, and we interpret some well-known facts concerning lines and conics contained in X in the language of vector bundles. In Section 4, we state and prove part (A) of the theorem above. Section 5 is devoted to part (B).

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Given a smooth complex projective n -dimensional polarized variety (X, H_X) and a sheaf F on X , we write $F(t)$ for $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(tH_X)$. Given a subscheme Z of X , we write F_Z for $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z$ and we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{Z,X}$ the ideal sheaf of Z in X , and by $N_{Z,X}$ its normal sheaf. We will frequently drop the second subscript. Given a pair of sheaves (F, E) on X , we will write $\text{ext}_X^k(F, E)$ for the dimension of the group $\text{Ext}_X^k(F, E)$, and similarly $h^k(X, F) = \dim H^k(X, F)$. The Euler characteristic of (F, E) is defined as $\chi(F, E) = \sum_k (-1)^k \text{ext}_X^k(F, E)$ and $\chi(F)$ is defined as $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X, F)$. We denote by $p(F, t)$ the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(F(t))$ of the sheaf F . The degree $\deg(L)$ of a divisor class L is defined as the degree of $L \cdot H_X^{n-1}$. The degree of a sheaf F is defined as $\deg(c_1(F))$. The dualizing sheaf of X is denoted by ω_X . Given two vector bundles

E, F , we denote by $e_{E,F}$ the natural evaluation map

$$e_{E,F} : \text{Hom}_X(E, F) \otimes E \rightarrow F.$$

If X is a smooth n -dimensional subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^m$, whose coordinate ring is Cohen-Macaulay, then X is said to be arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (ACM). A locally free sheaf F on an ACM variety X is called *ACM* (*arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay*) if it has no intermediate cohomology, i.e. if $H^k(X, F(t)) = 0$ for all integer t and for any $0 < k < n$. The corresponding module over the coordinate ring of X is thus a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.

Let us now recall a few well-known facts about semistable sheaves on projective varieties. We refer to the book [HL97] for a more detailed account of these notions. We recall that a torsionfree coherent sheaf F on X is (Gieseker) *semistable* if for any coherent subsheaf E , with $0 < \text{rk}(E) < \text{rk}(F)$, one has $p(E, t)/\text{rk}(E) \leq p(F, t)/\text{rk}(F)$ for $t \gg 0$. The sheaf F is called *stable* if the inequality above is always strict.

The *slope* of a sheaf F of positive rank is defined as $\mu(F) = \deg(F)/\text{rk}(F)$. We recall that a torsionfree coherent sheaf F is μ -*semistable* if for any coherent subsheaf E , with $0 < \text{rk}(E) < \text{rk}(F)$, one has $\mu(E) < \mu(F)$. The sheaf F is called μ -*stable* if the above inequality is always strict. We recall that the *discriminant* of a sheaf F is $\Delta(F) = 2rc_2(F) - (r-1)c_1(F)^2$, where $c_k(F) \in H^{k,k}(X)$ is the k -th Chern class of F . Bogomolov's inequality, see for instance [HL97, Theorem 3.4.1], states that if F is also μ -semistable, then we have:

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta(F) \cdot H_X^{n-2} \geq 0.$$

We introduce here some notation concerning moduli spaces. We denote by $M_X(r, c_1, \dots, c_n)$ the moduli space of S -equivalence classes of rank r torsionfree semistable sheaves on X with Chern classes $c_1, \dots, c_n$. The Chern class c_k will be denoted by an integer as soon as $H^{k,k}(X)$ has dimension 1. We will drop the last values of the classes c_k when they are zero. The moduli space of μ -semistable sheaves is denoted by $M_X^\mu(r, c_1, \dots, c_n)$.

Let us review some notation concerning the Hilbert scheme. Given a numerical polynomial $p(t)$, we let $\text{Hilb}_{p(t)}(X)$ be the *Hilbert scheme* of closed subschemes of X with Hilbert polynomial $p(t)$. In case $p(t)$ has degree one, we let $\mathcal{H}_d^g(X)$ be the union of components of $\text{Hilb}_{p(t)}(X)$ containing integral curves of degree d and arithmetic genus g .

As a basic technical tool, we will use the bounded derived category. Namely, given a smooth complex projective variety X , we will consider the derived category $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ of complexes of sheaves on X with bounded coherent cohomology. For definitions and notation we refer to [GM96] and [Wei94]. In particular we write $[j]$ for the j -th shift to the right in the derived category.

Let now X be a smooth projective variety of dimension 3. Recall that X is called *Fano* if its anticanonical divisor class $-K_X$ is ample. A Fano threefold X is *prime* if its Picard group is generated by the class of K_X . These varieties are classified up to deformation, see for instance [IP99, Chapter IV]. The number of deformation classes is 10, and they are characterized by the *genus*, which is the integer g such that $\deg(X) = -K_X^3 = 2g - 2$. Recall that the genus of a prime Fano threefold take values in $\{2, \dots, 10, 12\}$.

If X is a prime Fano threefold of genus g , the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ of lines contained in X is a scheme of pure dimension 1. The threefold X is said to be *exotic* if the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ contains a component which is nonreduced at any point. It turns out that no threefold of genus 9 is exotic, see [GLN06]. In particular the normal bundle of a general line $L \subset X$ splits as $\mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(-1)$. It is well-known that, if X is general, then the scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ is a smooth irreducible curve.

Recall also that a smooth projective surface S is a *K3 surface* if it has trivial canonical bundle and irregularity zero.

Remark that the cohomology groups $H^{k,k}(X)$ of a prime Fano threefold X of genus g are generated by the divisor class H_X (for $k = 1$), the class L_X of a line contained in X (for $k = 2$), the class P_X of a closed point of X (for $k = 3$). Hence we will denote the Chern classes of a sheaf on X by the integral multiple of the corresponding generator. Recall that $H_X^2 = (2g - 2)L_X$. We use an analogous notation on a K3 surface S of genus g .

We recall by [HL97, Part II, Chapter 6] that, given a stable sheaf F of rank r on a K3 surface S of sectional genus g , with Chern classes c_1, c_2 , the dimension at $[F]$ of the moduli space $M_S(r, c_1, c_2)$ is:

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta(F) - 2(r^2 - 1).$$

We recall finally the formula of Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch, in the case of prime Fano threefolds of genus 9. Let F be a rank r sheaf on a prime Fano threefold X of genus 9 with Chern classes c_1, c_2, c_3 . Then we have:

$$\chi(F) = r + \frac{10}{3}c_1 + 4c_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{8}{3}c_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}c_1c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_3.$$

3. GEOMETRY OF PRIME FANO THREEFOLDS OF GENUS 9

Throughout the paper we will denote by X a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 9. In this section, we briefly sketch some of the basic features of X . For a detailed account on the geometry of these varieties, and the related $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ -geometry, we refer to the papers [Muk88], [Muk89], [Ili03], [IR05]. The divisor class H_X embeds X in $\mathbb{P}^{10}$ as an ACM variety. It is well known that a general hyperplane section S of X is a smooth K3 surface polarized by the restriction H_S of H_X to S , with Picard number 1 and sectional genus 9.

By a result of Mukai, the threefold X is isomorphic to a 3-codimensional linear section of the Lagrangian Grassmannian Σ of 3-dimensional subspaces of a 6-dimensional vector space V which are isotropic with respect to a skew-symmetric 2-form ω . The manifold Σ is homogeneous for the complex Lie group $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$, which acts on V preserving ω . The Lie algebra of this group has dimension 21, its Dynkin diagram is of type C_3 and the manifold Σ is $\mathrm{Sp}(3)/\mathrm{P}(\alpha_3)$. In fact, Σ is a Hermitian symmetric space. It is equipped with a universal homogeneous rank 3 subbundle $\mathcal{U}$, and we still denote by $\mathcal{U}$ its restriction to X . We have the universal exact sequence:

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us review the properties of the vector bundle $\mathcal{U}$. Its Chern classes satisfy $c_1(\mathcal{U}) = -1$, $c_2(\mathcal{U}) = 8$, $c_3(\mathcal{U}) = -2$. The bundle $\mathcal{U}$ is exceptional by [Kuz06]. Moreover, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *The bundle $\mathcal{U}$ is stable and ACM. The same is true for its restriction $\mathcal{U}_S$ to a smooth hyperplane section surface S with $\mathrm{Pic}(S) = \langle H_S \rangle$.*

Proof. Consider the Koszul complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^3 B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-3) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0,$$

and tensor it with $\mathcal{U}$.

By Bott's theorem we know that, for any integer t , the homogeneous vector bundles $\mathcal{U}(t)$ on Σ have natural cohomology. Using Riemann-Roch's formula on Σ , we get $\chi(\mathcal{U}(-t)) = 0$, for

$0 \leq t \leq 3$. We obtain:

$$H^k(\Sigma, \mathcal{U}(-t)) = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{cases} \text{all } k \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 3, \\ k \neq 0 \text{ and } t \leq -1, \\ k \neq 6 \text{ and } t \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

It easily follows that $\mathcal{U}$ is ACM on X . Since $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U}^*(-1)$, by Serre duality we get $H^0(X, \wedge^2 \mathcal{U}) = 0$, so $\mathcal{U}$ is stable by Hoppe's criterion, see [Hop84, Lemma 2.6], or [AO94, Theorem 1.2].

To check the statement on S , consider the defining exact sequence:

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{U}$ is ACM on X , tensoring (3.2) by $\mathcal{U}(-t)$, and using $H^0(X, \mathcal{U}) = 0$, we get:

$$H^1(S, \mathcal{U}(t)) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(S, \mathcal{U}) = 0.$$

Tensoring (3.2) by $\mathcal{U}^*(-t)$, recalling that we have proved $H^0(X, \mathcal{U}^*(-1)) = 0$, and that $\mathcal{U}$ is ACM on X , making use of Serre duality we obtain:

$$H^1(S, \mathcal{U}(t)) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(S, \mathcal{U}^*(-1)) = 0.$$

This proves that the bundle $\mathcal{U}_S$ is ACM and that it is stable again by Hoppe's criterion. $\square$

3.1. Universal bundles and the decomposition of the derived category. Here we review the structure of the derived category of a smooth prime Fano threefold X of genus 9, in terms of the semiorthogonal decomposition provided by [Kuz06]. We will need to interpret this decomposition in terms of the universal vector bundle of the moduli space $M_X(2, 1, 6)$. In view of the results of [IR05], and recalling [BF08b, Lemma 3.4], the moduli space $M_X(2, 1, 6)$ is fine and isomorphic to a smooth plane quartic curve Γ . This curve can be obtained as an orthogonal linear section of Σ and is also called the homologically projectively dual curve to X . Let us denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the universal vector bundle for the moduli space $M_X(2, 1, 6) = \Gamma$. It is defined on $X \times \Gamma$, and we denote by p and q respectively the projections to X and Γ .

We have the integral functor Φ associated to $\mathcal{E}$, and its right and left adjoint functors $\Phi^!$ and Φ^* , which are defined by the formulas:

$$(3.3) \quad \Phi : \mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(X), \quad \Phi(-) = \mathbf{R}p_*(q^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{E}),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi^! : \mathbf{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma), \quad \Phi^!(-) = \mathbf{R}q_*(p^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{E}^*(\omega_\Gamma))[1],$$

$$(3.5) \quad \Phi^* : \mathbf{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma), \quad \Phi^*(-) = \mathbf{R}q_*(p^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{E}^*(-H_X))[3].$$

The topological invariants of $\mathcal{E}$ are the following:

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}) = H_X + N, \quad c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 6L_X + H_X M + \eta,$$

where N and M are divisor classes on Γ , and η sits in $H^3(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3.2. *We have $\eta^2 = 6$ and $\deg(N) = 2 \deg(M) - 1$.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{E}$ is the universal bundle for $M_X(2, 1, 6)$, and write $\mathcal{E}_y$ for the bundle on X corresponding to the point $y \in \Gamma$. By [BF08b, Lemma 3.3], we have $\text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{E}_y, \mathcal{E}_z) = 0$, for all

$y, z \in \Gamma$. Moreover $\text{Ext}_X^3(\mathcal{E}_y, \mathcal{E}_z) = 0$, for all $y, z \in \Gamma$, by Serre duality and stability. Thus by Riemann-Roch formula it easily follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hom}_X(\mathcal{E}_y, \mathcal{E}_y) &= \text{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}_y, \mathcal{E}_y) = 1, & \text{for all } y \in \Gamma, \\ \text{hom}_X(\mathcal{E}_y, \mathcal{E}_z) &= \text{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}_y, \mathcal{E}_z) = 0, & \text{for all } y \neq z \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $\Phi^1(\mathcal{E}_y) \cong \mathcal{O}_y$. By [BF08a, Proposition 3.5], for any $y \in \Gamma$, the bundle $\mathcal{E}_y$ satisfies:

$$H^k(X, \mathcal{E}_y^*) = 0, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

hence we have $\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Plugging the equations $\chi(\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_X)) = 0$ and $\chi(\Phi^1(\mathcal{E}_y)) = 1$ into Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch's formula, we get our claim. $\square$

By Kuznetsov's theorem, [Kuz06], we have the semiorthogonal decomposition:

$$\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{U}^*, \Theta(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)) \rangle,$$

where Θ is the integral functor associated to a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X \times \Gamma$, flat over Γ . We would like to see that Θ actually agrees with Φ . We do this in a rather indirect way, in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to (a twist) of $\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. It follows by [Kuz06, Appendix A] that $\mathcal{F}_y$ fits into a long exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0,$$

where Z is the intersection of a 3-dimensional quadric contained in Σ with a codimension 2 linear section of Σ . Note that $\mathcal{F}_y$ is torsionfree of rank 2. Since X does not contain planes or 2-dimensional quadrics, Z must be a conic. Therefore, we have $c_1(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$, $c_2(\mathcal{O}_Z) = -2$, $c_3(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$. Thus we calculate $c_1(\mathcal{F}_y) = 1$, $c_2(\mathcal{F}_y) = 6$, $c_3(\mathcal{F}_y) = 0$, and we easily check that $\mathcal{F}_y$ is a stable sheaf, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_y$ sits in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$. Note that, by [BF08a, Proposition 3.5], $\mathcal{F}_y$ must be a vector bundle. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is a universal vector bundle for the fine moduli space $\Gamma = \mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$, we have thus that $\mathcal{F}$ is the twist by a line bundle on Γ of a pull-back of $\mathcal{E}$ via a map $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$.

Note that if f is not constant, then it is an isomorphism and we are done. Now, in view of [Bri99], it is easy to prove that f is not constant since Θ is fully faithful. Indeed, the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ must satisfy:

$$\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{F}_y, \mathcal{F}_z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } k \text{ if } y \neq z \in \Gamma.$$

But if f was constant, we would have $\text{hom}_X(\mathcal{F}_y, \mathcal{F}_z) = 1$, for any $y, z \in \Gamma$. $\square$

The semiorthogonal decomposition of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ can be thus rewritten as:

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)) \rangle.$$

Then, given a sheaf F over X , we have a functorial exact triangle:

$$(3.7) \quad \Phi(\Phi^1(F)) \rightarrow F \rightarrow \Psi(\Psi^*(F)),$$

where Ψ is the inclusion of the subcategory $\langle \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{U}_+^* \rangle$ in $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ and Ψ^* is the left adjoint functor to Ψ . The k -th term of the complex $\Psi(\Psi^*(F))$ can be written as follows:

$$(3.8) \quad (\Psi(\Psi^*(F)))^k \cong \text{Ext}_X^{-k}(F, \mathcal{O}_X)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \text{Ext}_X^{1-k}(F, \mathcal{U}_+^*)^* \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^*.$$

Remark 3.4. The universal bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is determined up to twisting by the pull-back of a line bundle on Γ . In order to simplify some computations, we adopt the convention:

$$\deg(N) = \deg(\mathcal{E}_x) = 5.$$

Remark 3.5. Making use of mutations, one can easily write down the following semiorthogonal decomposition of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$:

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \Phi_0(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)), \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X \rangle,$$

where $\Phi_0 : \mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(X)$ is defined as $\Phi_0 = \mathbf{R}p_*(q^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{E}(-H_X))$. Let Φ_0^* be the left adjoint of the functor Φ_0 .

Let q_1 and q_2 be the projections of $X \times X$ onto the two factors, and denote by $\mathbf{U}$ the complex on $X \times X$ defined by the natural map $\mathcal{U} \boxtimes \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}$ has cohomological degree 0. Then the projection onto the subcategory $\langle \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X \rangle$ is given by the functor $\mathbf{R}q_{2*}(q_1^*(-) \otimes \mathbf{U})$.

Lemma 3.6. *We have the natural isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*))) &\cong \mathcal{U}^*, \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\Phi(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*))) &\cong \mathcal{U}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that, for any object F of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$, we have $\Phi_0^*(F(-1)) \cong \Phi^*(F)$, and for any object $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)$, we have $\Phi(\mathcal{F})(-1) \cong \Phi_0(\mathcal{F})$. In particular, we get a natural isomorphism $\Phi_0(\Phi_0^*(\mathcal{U}^*(-1)))(1) \cong \Phi(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*))$.

By the decomposition (3.9), we get a distinguished triangle:

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbf{R}q_{2*}(q_1^*(\mathcal{U}^*(-1)) \otimes \mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^*(-1) \rightarrow \Phi_0(\Phi_0^*(\mathcal{U}^*(-1))).$$

Since we have $H^k(X, \mathcal{U}^*(-1)) = 0$ for all k , and $H^k(X, \mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1)) = 0$ for $k \neq 3$, $h^3(X, \mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1)) = 1$, the lefthandside in (3.10) is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}[-2]$. Thus we have $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi_0(\Phi_0^*(\mathcal{U}^*(-1)))) \cong \mathcal{U}^*(-1)$ and $\mathcal{H}^1(\Phi_0(\Phi_0^*(\mathcal{U}^*(-1)))) \cong \mathcal{U}$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

3.2. Conics contained in X . In this section we review some facts concerning the geometry of conics contained in X . In Proposition 3.11 we recover Iliev's description of their Hilbert scheme, see [Ili03]. We outline a different proof, which holds for any smooth prime Fano threefolds of genus 9.

Lemma 3.7. *Let C be any conic contained in X . Then we have:*

$$(3.11) \quad h^0(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) = 1, \quad h^1(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) = 0,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \mathrm{hom}_X(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_C) = 1, \quad \mathrm{ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_C) = 0, \quad \text{for } k \neq 1$$

Proof. By Riemann-Roch we have $\chi(\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{I}_C) = 1$, and one can easily prove $\mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_C) = 0$, for $k \geq 2$. So there is at least a nonzero global section s of $\mathcal{U}^*$ which vanishes on the curve C . Note that s lifts to a section $\tilde{s}$ of $\mathcal{U}^*$ on Σ , and C is contained in the vanishing locus of $\tilde{s}$. This locus is a smooth 3-dimensional quadric $Q \subset \Sigma$.

It is easy to see that the restriction of $\mathcal{U}$ to Q splits as $\mathcal{O}_Q \oplus \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the spinor bundle on Q . It is well-known that $\mathcal{S}$ is a stable bundle on Q with $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{S}) = 2$ and $c_1(\mathcal{S}) = -H_Q$. Moreover, the bundle $\mathcal{S}$ is ACM on Q . See for instance [Ott88].

The conic C is the complete intersection of two hyperplanes in Q , hence we have the Koszul complex:

$$(3.13) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q(-2H_Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q(-H_Q)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring (3.13) by $\mathcal{S}$, since $\mathcal{S}$ is stable and ACM on Q , we get $H^k(C, \mathcal{S}) = 0$ for all k . This implies (3.11). Using (3.1), one easily gets (3.12). $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *Let F be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$, and let α be any nonzero element in $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F)$. Then α gives the long exact sequence:*

$$(3.14) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{U}^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0,$$

where C is a conic contained in X and β is a global section of $\mathcal{U}^*$.

Proof. Let I be the image of a nonzero map $\alpha : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow F$. Recall by Lemma 3.1 that $\mathcal{U}$ is stable. Thus, by stability of F we get $\mathrm{rk}(\ker \alpha) = 1$ and $c_1(\ker \alpha) = 0$. Since $\ker \alpha$ is reflexive, it must be invertible and we get an exact sequence of the form:

$$(3.15) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that I is easily proved to be stable. To get (3.14), observe that the cokernel T of $I \hookrightarrow F$ satisfies $c_1(T) = 0$, $c_2(T) = -2$, $c_3(T) = 0$. Hence T agrees with $\mathcal{O}_C$, for some conic $C \subset X$, as soon as it has no isolated or embedded points. But from (3.15) we get $H^1(X, I(-1)) = 0$ and, since $H^0(X, F(-1)) = 0$ by stability, it follows $H^0(X, T(-1)) = 0$ which implies our claim. $\square$

Lemma 3.9. *Let F be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$. Then we have:*

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F) &= 2, \\ \mathrm{ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, F) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1.$$

Proof. Let us prove (3.16). For $k = 3$, in view of Serre duality, the vanishing of $\mathrm{Ext}_X^3(\mathcal{U}^*, F)$ is easily obtained by stability of $\mathcal{U}^*$ and F .

For $k = 2$, recall by [BF08a, Proposition 3.5] that F is a globally generated vector bundle, and we have thus an exact sequence:

$$(3.17) \quad 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^6 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0.$$

We can prove, as in the proof of [BF08b, Lemma 3.3], that K is a stable vector bundle. This gives, since $\mathcal{U}^*$ is ACM:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{U}^*, F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_X^3(\mathcal{U}^*, K) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(K, \mathcal{U}^*(-1))^* = 0,$$

where the last vanishing takes place by stability.

Let us now consider the case $k = 1$. Observe that $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F) \neq 0$ since by Riemann-Roch we have $\chi(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 2$ and we have proved (3.16) for $k = 2$. A nonzero map $\alpha : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow F$ must give rise to (3.14) by Lemma 3.8. Tensoring (3.14) by $\mathcal{U}$, since $\mathcal{U}$ is an exceptional ACM bundle by Lemma 3.1, we obtain (3.16) for $k = 1$, by virtue of (3.11). $\square$

Lemma 3.10. *Let F be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$. Then we have $\mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}, F^*) = 0$ for all k .*

Proof. Recall that F is a globally generated ACM bundle. Clearly, we have $H^0(F^* \otimes \mathcal{U}) = 0$. Now, dualize the exact sequence (3.17), and tensor it by $\mathcal{U}$. Note that $\mu(K^* \otimes \mathcal{U}) = -1/12$, so $H^0(X, K^* \otimes \mathcal{U}) = H^1(X, F^* \otimes \mathcal{U}) = 0$ by stability. Similarly, we obtain $H^3(X, F^* \otimes \mathcal{U}) = 0$. By Riemann-Roch we compute $\chi(F^* \otimes \mathcal{U}) = 0$, so the group $H^2(X, F^* \otimes \mathcal{U})$ vanishes too, and our statement is proved. $\square$

Proposition 3.11 (Iliev). *Let X be a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 9. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{V} = q_*(p^*(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathcal{E})$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on Γ with $\deg(\mathcal{V}) = 1$, and we have a natural isomorphism:*

$$(3.18) \quad \mathcal{V}^* \cong \Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*).$$

The Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_2^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ over Γ . In particular, $\mathcal{H}_2^0(X)$ is a smooth irreducible surface.

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.9, we have $\mathbf{R}^k q_*(p^*(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$, for $k \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{V}$ is a locally free sheaf on Γ of rank $h^0(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{E}_y) = 2$.

By an instance of Grothendieck duality, see [Har66, Chapter III], given a sheaf $\mathcal{P}$ on $X \times \Gamma$, we have:

$$(3.19) \quad \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{R}q_*(\mathcal{P}), \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}) \cong \mathbf{R}q_*(\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{X \times \Gamma}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \Gamma}))[3],$$

and the isomorphism is functorial. Setting $\mathcal{P} = p^*(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ in (3.19), we get (3.18).

Consider now an element ξ of the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$. It is uniquely represented by a pair $([\alpha], y)$, where y is a point of Γ , and $[\alpha]$ is an element of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{E}_y))$. Setting $F = \mathcal{E}_y$ in Lemma 3.8, the morphism α gives (3.14). Applying the functor $\mathcal{H}om_X(-, \mathcal{O}_X)$ to (3.14), one can easily write down the exact sequence:

$$(3.20) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_y^* \xrightarrow{\alpha^\top} \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\beta^\top} \mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow 0.$$

This defines an algebraic map $\vartheta : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2^0(X)$.

Let us prove that ϑ is injective. Consider two elements $\xi_1 = ([\alpha_1], y_1)$ and $\xi_2 = ([\alpha_2], y_2)$ and the two conics $C_1, C_2 \subset X$ associated to them. We want to show that $\mathcal{I}_{C_1} \cong \mathcal{I}_{C_2}$, implies $\xi_1 = \xi_2$. Note that an isomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{I}_{C_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C_2}$ lifts to a nontrivial map $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ as soon as:

$$(3.21) \quad \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}_{y_2}^*) = 0,$$

which in turn is given by Lemma 3.10. Thus, by the simplicity of $\mathcal{U}$, the map $\tilde{\gamma}$ must be a multiple of the identity, and we have an isomorphism $\hat{\gamma} : \mathcal{E}_{y_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{y_2}$ with $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \alpha_1^\top = \alpha_2^\top \circ \hat{\gamma}$. Then $y_1 = y_2$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ is also a multiple of the identity. Therefore ξ_1 is a multiple of ξ_2 and we are done.

To conclude the proof, let us provide an inverse to ϑ . Let C be a conic contained in X . By (3.12), there exists a unique (up to scalar) morphism $\delta : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C$. Let I be the image of δ and K its kernel. Note that I is torsionfree of rank 1 so that K is reflexive of rank 2, so $c_3(K) \geq 0$. Moreover, by stability of $\mathcal{U}$ we must have $c_1(I) = 0$ and $c_1(K) = -1$, and one easily sees that K is stable too. Thus $c_2(K) = c_2(K(1)) \geq 6$ by [BF08a, Lemma 3.1], which easily implies $c_2(I) \leq 2$. But I is included in $\mathcal{I}_C$, hence $c_2(I) \geq 2$, and we get $c_2(I) = 2$, $c_2(K(1)) = 6$. So, [BF08a, Proposition 3.5] implies $c_3(K) = c_3(I) = 0$. We conclude that $I = \mathcal{I}_C$ (so δ is surjective) and $K = \mathcal{E}_y^*$ for some $y \in \Gamma$. We associate then to the conic C the point $\xi = ([\alpha], y) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$, where α is the transpose of the inclusion of K in $\mathcal{U}$. This provides an algebraic inverse to ϑ and completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.12. *We have a natural isomorphism $\Phi^!(\mathcal{U}(1))[-1] \cong \Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)$. In particular, we get $\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \cong \omega_{\Gamma}(-N)$, where $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = H_X + N$.*

Proof. By Grothendieck duality (3.19), we get a natural isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{V} \cong \Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)^* \cong \Phi^!(\mathcal{U}(1)) \otimes \omega_{\Gamma}^*(N)[-1],$$

and since $\mathcal{V}$ has rank 2, the second statement thus follows from the first one.

In view of Proposition 3.11, the rank 2 bundle $\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)$ is stable. Thus we only need to show that there is a nonzero morphism from $\Phi^!(\mathcal{U}(1))[-1]$ to $\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)$. Thus we compute:

$$\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\Phi^!(\mathcal{U}(1))[-1], \Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)) \cong \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}(1), \Phi(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*))[1]) \cong \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}(1), \mathcal{U}(1)),$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.6. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.13. In view of the previous results, we can identify $\mathcal{V}$ with a twist of the stable rank 2 of degree 3, defined by Iliev in [Ili03, Section 5]. Let $K_\Gamma = c_1(\omega_\Gamma)$ and recall that by Mukai's theorem, [Muk01], X is isomorphic to the *type II Brill-Noether locus*:

$$\mathbf{M}_\Gamma(2, K_\Gamma, 3\mathcal{V}) = \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{M}_\Gamma(2, c_1(\mathcal{V}) + K_\Gamma) \mid h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{V}^*) \geq 3\}.$$

Therefore, the bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is universal also for the moduli space $X \cong \mathbf{M}_\Gamma(2, K_\Gamma, 3\mathcal{V})$.

3.3. Lines contained in X . Here we focus on lines contained in X . We describe their Hilbert scheme in the next proposition as a certain Brill-Noether locus of the Picard variety $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ of line bundles of degree 2 on Γ .

Proposition 3.14. *Let L be a line contained in X . Then we have a functorial exact sequence:*

$$(3.22) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow A_L \otimes \mathcal{U}^* \xrightarrow{\zeta_L} \Phi(\Phi^!(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $A_L = H^1(L, \mathcal{U}^*(-2))$ has dimension 2. Moreover, the map

$$\psi : L \mapsto \Phi^!(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$$

gives an isomorphism of the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ onto a union W of components of the locus:

$$(3.23) \quad \{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma) \mid h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \geq 2\}.$$

Proof. Recall that, for each $y \in \Gamma$, the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_y$ is a globally generated bundle with $c_1(\mathcal{E}_y) = 1$. Thus, it splits over L as $\mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(1)$. It follows that $\Phi^!(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$ is a sheaf concentrated in degree 0, and its rank equals $h^0(L, \mathcal{E}_y^*) = 1$. Its degree is computed by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula.

To get (3.22), we use (3.7) and (3.8). We have thus to compute the cohomology groups $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{U})$. Note that $\mathcal{U}^*$ splits over L as $\mathcal{O}_L^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(1)$. So, using Serre duality, we see that $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{U}) = 0$ for $k \neq 2$, while for $k = 2$ we have $\text{ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ and $\text{ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{U}) = 2$. Setting $A_L = H^1(L, \mathcal{U}^*(-2)) \cong \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{U})^*$, we obtain the functorial resolution (3.22) and $\dim(A_L) = 2$.

Set $\mathcal{L} = \Phi^!(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$, and recall the isomorphism (3.18). Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, -)$ to the long exact sequence (3.22), since $\mathcal{U}$ is exceptional, and both $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \mathcal{O}_L(-1))$ vanish, we get a natural isomorphism:

$$\text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L}) \cong \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathcal{L})) \cong A_L.$$

Therefore, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ lies in the locus defined by (3.23), and actually we have $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 2$. Moreover, up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar, the morphism ζ_L coincides with the natural evaluation map $e_{\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathcal{L})}$. Thus, the mapping $L \mapsto \Phi^!(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$ is injective, since $\mathcal{O}_L(-1)$ can be recovered as $\text{cok}(\zeta_L)$.

Now we shall identify the tangent space of $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ at the point $[L]$ with that of the component W , at the point $[\mathcal{L}]$. Note that the morphism $\Phi^*(\zeta_L)$ must agree with the natural evaluation map

$$(3.24) \quad e_{\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L}} : A_L \otimes \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}.$$

Remark also that the tangent space to W at the point $[\mathcal{L}]$ is computed as the kernel of the map obtained applying the functor $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^1(-, \mathcal{L})$ to (3.24).

Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-, \mathcal{O}_L(-1))$ to (3.22), and using the obvious vanishing $\mathrm{H}^k(L, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = 0$ for all k , we obtain a commutative exact diagram:

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\Phi(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{O}_L(-1)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}^1(\zeta_L, \mathcal{O}_L(-1))} & A_L^* \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, \mathcal{O}_L(-1)) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}^1(e_{\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{L})} & A_L^* \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L}). \end{array}$$

Here, the kernel (respectively, the cokernel) of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\zeta_L, \mathcal{O}_L(-1))$ is naturally identified with the tangent space $T_{[L]} \mathcal{H}_1^0(X) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_L)$, (respectively, with the obstruction space $\mathrm{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_L)$). Thus, the diagram (3.25) allows to identify the tangent space (and the obstruction space) of $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ at $[L]$ with those of W at $\mathcal{L}$. $\square$

Remark 3.15. Let L be a line contained in X and set $\mathcal{L} = \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$. Note that the normal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_W$ at the point $[\mathcal{L}]$ to the subscheme W of $\mathrm{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ is naturally identified with $A_L^* \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L})$, where A_L is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L})$. Since $\dim(A_L) = 2$ and since $\mathrm{ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L}) = \mathrm{h}^1(L, \mathcal{U}(-1)) = 1$, the sheaf $\mathcal{N}_W$ is in fact locally free of rank 2, and its fibre over $[\mathcal{L}]$ can be identified (up to twist by a line bundle on W) with A_L^* .

Remark 3.16. Thanks to the Mukai's interpretation of a line contained in X as a locus inside $\mathrm{M}_\Gamma(2, K_\Gamma, 3\mathcal{V})$, see [Muk01, Section 9], it is possible to prove that the map ψ is actually surjective onto the locus $\{\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^2(\Gamma) \mid \mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \geq 2\}$.

The following lemmas will be needed further on.

Lemma 3.17. *Let L be a line contained in X . Then we have a natural isomorphism:*

$$(3.26) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_L) \cong A_L^*.$$

The set S_L of surjective morphisms $\gamma : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_L$ is open and dense in $\mathbb{P}(A_L)$. The subscheme $\mathbb{P}(A_L) \setminus S_L$ is in natural bijection with the length 5 scheme of reducible conics $D \subset X$ which contain L . For a map γ with $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{P}(A_L) \setminus S_L$, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\gamma) = \mathcal{I}_D$.

Proof. To get the first statement, we use (3.1) and we obtain the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_L) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{I}_L \otimes \mathcal{U}^*) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathcal{I}_L \otimes \mathcal{U}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(L, \mathcal{U}) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(L, \mathcal{U}^*(-2))^* = A_L^*.$$

Let now γ be a map in $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_L)$. We work as in Proposition 3.11, and we denote $I = \mathrm{Im}(\gamma)$, $K = \ker(\gamma)$. By stability of $\mathcal{U}$, the subsheaf I of $\mathcal{I}_L$ has trivial determinant. Thus K is a reflexive sheaf of rank 2 with $c_1 = -1$, hence $c_3(K) \geq 0$. It is easy to see that K is stable, so $c_2(K) \geq 6$. On the other hand, we have $c_2(K) = 8 - c_2(I) \leq 7$, so $c_2(K)$ equals 6 or 7. If $c_2(K) = 7$, it follows that $c_3(I) \leq -1$. Then $c_i(I) = c_i(\mathcal{I}_L)$ for all i , so $I = \mathcal{I}_L$ i.e. γ is surjective. We can now assume $c_2(K) = 6$ and, by [BF08a, Proposition 3.5], we have that K is a locally free sheaf, so $c_3(K) = 0$. This gives $c_3(I) = 0$, so $I \cong \mathcal{I}_D$, for some conic D . This proves the last statement.

Given two non proportional maps γ_1, γ_2 in $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_L)$, assuming that neither is surjective, we get $\mathrm{Im}(\gamma_1) \not\cong \mathrm{Im}(\gamma_2)$ in view of the vanishing (3.21). Therefore, up to a nonzero scalar, each non surjective map γ determines uniquely a conic $D \supset L$. The converse is obvious, so it only remains to check that the subscheme $\mathbb{P}(A_L) \setminus S_L$ has length 5. This is true if L is general, see [Isk78], so we only need to check that the length is always finite. But $\mathbb{P}(A_L)$ contains no infinite proper subschemes, so all elements γ of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_L)$ should give $\mathrm{Im}(\gamma) = \mathcal{I}_D$, so $\mathrm{hom}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_D) = 2$, contradicting Lemma 3.7. $\square$

Lemma 3.18. *Let L be a line contained in X . Then $\Phi^!(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1]$ is a line bundle of degree 1 on the curve Γ .*

Proof. Recall that, for each $y \in \Gamma$, the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_y$ is a globally generated bundle with $c_1(\mathcal{E}_y) = 1$. Thus, it splits over L as $\mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(1)$. It follows that $\Phi^!(\mathcal{O}_L)$ is a sheaf concentrated in degree -1 , and its rank equals $h^0(L, \mathcal{E}_y^*) = 1$. Its degree is computed by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch. $\square$

4. STABLE SHEAVES OF RANK 2 WITH ODD DETERMINANT

Recall from [BF08a, Theorem 3.12] that, for each $c_2 \geq 7$, there exists a component $M(c_2)$ of $M_X(2, 1, c_2)$ containing a locally free sheaf F which satisfies:

$$(4.1) \quad H^1(X, F(-1)) = 0.$$

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Ext}_X^2(F, F) = 0,$$

and the extra assumption $H^0(X, F \otimes \mathcal{O}_L(-1)) = 0$, for some line $L \subset X$ having normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(-1)$. For $c_2 = 6$, we have $M(6) = M_X(2, 1, 6) \cong \Gamma$. For $c_2 \geq 7$, $M(c_2)$ is defined recursively as the unique component of $M_X(2, 1, c_2)$ which contains a sheaf F fitting into:

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow 0,$$

where G is a general sheaf lying in $M(c_2 - 1)$. Here we are going to prove the following result, which amounts to Part A of our main theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *For any integer $c_2 \geq 7$, there is a birational map φ , generically defined by $F \mapsto \Phi^!(F)$, from $M(c_2)$ to a generically smooth $(2c_2 - 11)$ -dimensional component $B(c_2)$ of the locus:*

$$(4.4) \quad \{\mathcal{F} \in M_\Gamma(c_2 - 6, c_2 - 5) \mid h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \geq c_2 - 6\}.$$

We begin with a series of lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $c_2 \geq 7$, and let F be a sheaf in $M_X(2, 1, c_2)$, satisfying (4.1). Then $\Phi^!(F)$ is a vector bundle on Γ , of rank $c_2 - 6$ and degree $c_2 - 5$.*

Proof. Using stability of F and Riemann-Roch's formula we get:

$$(4.5) \quad H^k(X, F(-1)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } k.$$

By the definition (3.4) of $\Phi^!$, the stalk of $\mathcal{H}^k(\Phi^!(F))$ over the point $y \in \Gamma$ is given by:

$$(4.6) \quad H^{k+1}(X, \mathcal{E}_y^* \otimes F) \otimes \omega_{\Gamma, y}.$$

Let us check that (4.6) vanishes for all $y \in \Gamma$ and for $k \neq 0$. For $k = -1$, the statement is clear. Indeed, by stability, any nonzero morphism $\mathcal{E}_y \rightarrow F$ would be an isomorphism for $\mathcal{E}_y$ is locally free. But $c_2(\mathcal{E}_y) \neq c_2(F)$.

To check the case $k = 1$, by Serre duality we can show $\text{Ext}_X^1(F, \mathcal{E}_y) = 0$. Setting $E = \mathcal{E}_y$ in (3.17), and applying $\text{Hom}_X(F, -)$, in view of (4.5) we get:

$$\text{Ext}_k^1(F, \mathcal{E}_y^*) = \text{Hom}_X(F, K^*) = 0,$$

where the last equality holds by stability. Finally, (4.6) holds for $k = 2$ again by stability.

We have thus proved that $\Phi^!(F)$ is a vector bundle on Γ . By Riemann-Roch we compute its rank as $\text{rk}(\Phi^!(F)) = \chi(F \otimes \mathcal{E}_y) = c_2 - 6$. Using Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch's formula, one can easily compute the degree of $\Phi^!(F)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $d \geq 7$, and let F be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$, satisfying (4.1). Then we have a functorial resolution of the form:*

$$(4.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_F \otimes \mathcal{U}^* \xrightarrow{\zeta_F} \Phi(\Phi^!(F)) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0,$$

where $A_F = \text{Ext}_X^2(F, \mathcal{U})^*$ has dimension $c_2 - 6$.

Proof. To write down (4.7), we use the exact triangle (3.7). We must calculate the groups $\text{Ext}_X^k(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\text{Ext}_X^k(F, \mathcal{U})$ for all k . We have proved that the former vanishes for all k , see (4.5).

If $k = 0, 3$, we easily get $\text{Ext}_X^k(F, \mathcal{U}_+) = 0$ by stability of the sheaves $\mathcal{U}_+$ and F . Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_X(F, -)$ to (3.1) we get $\text{Ext}_X^1(F, \mathcal{U}) \cong \text{Hom}_X(F, \mathcal{U}^*) = 0$, where the vanishing follows from the stability of F and $\mathcal{U}$. By Riemann-Roch we get $\text{ext}_X^2(F, \mathcal{U}) = c_2 - 6$. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $c_2 \geq 8$, and let F be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$, satisfying (4.1). Then we have a natural isomorphism:*

$$(4.8) \quad A_F \cong \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\Phi^!(F))),$$

$$(4.9) \quad \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, F) \cong \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\Phi^!(F))).$$

In particular, the natural map ζ_F in (4.7) is uniquely determined up to a nonzero scalar.

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.3, we have the resolution (4.7). We apply to it the functor $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, -)$, and we recall that $\mathcal{U}^*$ is exceptional. In fact, we are going to show:

$$(4.10) \quad \text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 0, 2, 3,$$

where the case $k = 0$ proves the lemma. By contradiction, we consider a nonzero map $\gamma : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow F$. By the argument of Lemma 3.8 we have $\ker(\gamma) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, so $c_2(\text{Im}(\gamma)) = 8$, which is impossible for $c_2(F) \geq 9$. For $c_2(F) = 8$, note that $c_3(\text{Im}(\gamma)) = 2$ gives $c_2(\text{cok}(\gamma)) = 0$, $c_3(\text{cok}(\gamma)) = -2$ which is also impossible. $\square$

Note that it is now immediate to show (4.10) also for $k = 2, 3$. Indeed, for $k \geq 2$, we have:

$$\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, F) \cong \text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\Phi^!(F))) \cong \text{Ext}_\Gamma^k(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*), \Phi^!(F)) = 0,$$

since $\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)$ and $\Phi^!(F)$ are sheaves on a curve.

Lemma 4.5. *Let F be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$ satisfying (4.1), and set $\mathcal{F} = \Phi^!(F)$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = c_2 - 6$. Further, if F satisfies (4.2), then the natural map:*

$$(4.11) \quad H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \otimes H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}^* \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F}^* \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_\Gamma)$$

is injective.

Proof. Recall the notation $A_F = \text{Ext}_X^2(F, \mathcal{U})^*$. Note that, by (4.8), (4.9) and (3.18) we have natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} A_F &\cong \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}), \\ \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, F) &\cong \text{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}), \end{aligned}$$

and we have seen that A_F has dimension $c_2 - 6$.

We have thus proved the first claim, and the map $\Phi^*(\zeta_F)$ must agree up to a nonzero scalar with the natural evaluation map:

$$e := e_{\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}} : \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}.$$

We have thus a commutative exact diagram:

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\Phi(\mathcal{F}), F) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\zeta_F, F)} & A_F^* \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, F) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F})} & A_F^* \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}). \end{array}$$

Therefore, we have the natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(F, F) &\cong \ker(\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\zeta_F, F)) \cong \ker(\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F})), \\ \mathrm{Ext}_X^2(F, F) &\cong \mathrm{cok}(\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\zeta_F, F)) \cong \mathrm{cok}(\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the map $\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F})$ is surjective as soon as F satisfies (4.2). This implies our claim, since the map (4.11) is the transpose of $\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F})$. $\square$

We are now in position to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Recall that the variety $\mathbf{M}(c_2)$ contains a vector bundle F satisfying (4.1), hence by semicontinuity Lemma 4.2 applies to an open dense subset of $\mathbf{M}(c_2)$. Thus, for any sheaf F in this open set, $\mathcal{F} = \Phi^1(F)$ is a vector bundle on Γ of rank $c_2 - 6$ and degree $c_2 - 5$, and it satisfies $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = c_2 - 6$ by Lemma 4.5.

Let us now prove that, if F is general in $\mathbf{M}(c_2)$, then the vector bundle $\Phi^1(F)$ is stable over Γ . In fact we prove that, if F is a sheaf fitting into (4.3), and G is general in $\mathbf{M}(c_2 - 1)$, then $\mathcal{F} = \Phi^1(F)$ is stable over Γ . Since stability is an open property by [Mar76], this will imply that $\Phi^1(F)$ is stable for F general in $\mathbf{M}(c_2)$. By induction, we may assume that $\Phi^1(G)$ is a stable vector bundle, of rank $c_2 - 7$ and degree $c_2 - 6$.

Applying Φ^1 to (4.3), we get an exact sequence of bundles on Γ :

$$(4.13) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Phi^1(G) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1]$ is a line bundle of degree 1 by Lemma 3.18. Note that this extension must be nonsplit, for it corresponds to a nontrivial element in:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\Phi^1(G), \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(G, \mathcal{O}_L).$$

Assume by contradiction that $\mathcal{F}$ is not stable, so it contains a subsheaf $\mathcal{K}$ with $\mu(\mathcal{K}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{K}) < \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F})$. The sequence (4.13) induces an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'' \rightarrow 0,$$

with $\mathcal{K}'' \subset \Phi^1(G)$ and $\mathcal{K}' \subset \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1]$. If $\mathcal{K}' = 0$, then $\mu(\mathcal{K}) = \mu(\mathcal{K}'') < \mu(\Phi^1(G))$ for $\Phi^1(G)$ is stable and (4.13) is nonsplit. But since $\mu(\Phi^1(G)) - \mu(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{(c_2-5)(c_2-6)}$, we have that $\mu(\mathcal{K})$ cannot fit in the interval $[\mu(\mathcal{F}), \mu(\Phi^1(G))]$. If $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{K}') = 1$, one can easily apply a similar argument.

We have thus proved that an open dense subset of $\mathbf{M}(c_2)$ maps into the locus defined by (4.4). This locus is equipped with a natural structure of a subvariety of the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_\Gamma(c_2 - 6, c_2 - 5)$. Its tangent space at the point $[\mathcal{F}]$ is thus $\ker(\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F}))$, while the obstruction sits in $\mathrm{cok}(\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F}))$, where again $e = e_{\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}}$. Notice that, by Lemma 4.5, the latter space vanishes if F satisfies (4.2), so $\mathbf{B}(c_2)$ is generically isomorphic to the $(2d - 11)$ -dimensional variety $\mathbf{M}(c_2)$. $\square$

5. THE MODULI SPACE $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ AS A BLOWING UP OF THE PICARD VARIETY

In this section, we set up a more detailed study of the moduli space $M_X(2, 1, 7)$, of which we give a biregular (rather than birational) description. In fact, the map φ sends the whole space $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ to the abelian variety $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$. In turn, $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ contains a copy of the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$, via the map ψ (see Proposition 3.14), as a subvariety of codimension 2. The relation between these varieties is given by the main result of this section, which provides Part B of our main theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *The mapping $\varphi : F \mapsto \Phi^1(F)$ gives an isomorphism of the moduli space $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ to the blowing up of $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ along the subvariety $W = \psi(\mathcal{H}_1^0(X))$. The exceptional divisor consists of the sheaves in $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ which are not globally generated.*

We will need some lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *Let F be a sheaf in $M_X(2, 1, 7)$. Then, we have:*

$$(5.1) \quad H^k(X, F(-1)) = H^k(X, F) = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2.$$

Moreover, either F is a locally free, or there exists an exact sequence:

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow 0,$$

where E is a bundle in $M_X(2, 1, 6)$ and L is a line contained in X .

Furthermore, the following statements are equivalent:

- i) the sheaf F is not globally generated;
- ii) the group $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F)$ is nonzero;
- iii) there exists a line $L \subset X$, a sheaf I in $M_X(2, 1, 8, 2)$ and two exact sequence:

$$(5.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(5.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The first two statements are taken from [BF08a, Proposition 3.7]. Clearly condition (iii) implies both conditions (ii) and (i).

Let us prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Consider a nonzero map $\gamma : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow F$. The argument of Lemma 3.8 implies $\ker(\gamma) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and the cokernel T of γ has $c_1(T) = 0$, $c_2(T) = -1$, $c_3(T) = -1$, so $T \cong \mathcal{O}_L(-1)$, for some line $L \subset X$, if T is supported on a Cohen-Macaulay curve. In turn, this holds if the support of T has no isolated or embedded points, which follows once we prove $H^0(X, T(-1)) = 0$. But (5.3) gives $H^1(X, I(-1)) = 0$, so by $H^0(X, F(-1)) = 0$, we have $H^0(X, T(-1)) = 0$, and we are done.

It remains to show (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

- (i) $\Rightarrow$ (5.4): Assume that F is not globally generated, that is the evaluation map $e_{\mathcal{O}_X, F} : H^0(X, F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F$ is not surjective. Set $K = \ker(e_{\mathcal{O}_X, F})$, $I = \text{Im}(e_{\mathcal{O}_X, F})$ and $T = \text{cok}(e_{\mathcal{O}_X, F})$. Now it is enough to prove the following facts:

$$(5.5) \quad c_2(T) = -1, \quad c_3(T) = -1,$$

$$(5.6) \quad \text{the support of the sheaf } T \text{ has no isolated or embedded points.}$$

The stability of F easily implies $\text{rk}(I) = 2$ and $c_1(I) = 1$. Since T is a torsion sheaf with $c_1(T) = 0$, we have $c_2(T) = -\ell \leq 0$. Looking at the sheaf K , we see that it is reflexive of rank 3 with:

$$c_1(K) = -1, \quad c_2(K) = 9 - \ell, \quad c_3(K) = c_3(T) - 2 + \ell.$$

Thus, we are now reduced to prove $c_3(K) = -2$ and $\ell = 1$. By Riemann-Roch, we compute $\chi(K) = \frac{1}{2}c_3(K) + 1$. By definition of the evaluation map $e_{\mathcal{O}_X, F}$, taking global sections of the composition:

$$H^0(X, F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \twoheadrightarrow I \hookrightarrow F,$$

we obtain an isomorphism. This implies:

$$(5.7) \quad H^0(X, K) = H^1(X, K) = 0,$$

$$(5.8) \quad H^0(X, T) \cong H^1(X, I) \cong H^2(X, K),$$

and one can easily see $H^3(X, K) = 0$.

We postpone the proof of the following claim, and we assume it for the time being.

Claim 5.3. *We have $c_2(K) \in \{8, 9\}$ and $H^2(X, K) = 0$.*

Note that the second statement of the above claim proves $\chi(K) = 0$, which implies $c_3(K) = -2$. Then, by the first statement of Claim 5.3, we obtain $\ell = 1$, for otherwise T would be zero. This proves (5.5). Note that (5.6) follows from the vanishing of (5.8). This finishes the proof.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (5.3): Note that $\chi(\mathcal{U}^*, K) = -1$. Since $\text{Ext}_X^3(\mathcal{U}^*, K) = 0$ by stability, we get $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, K) \neq 0$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}^*, -)$ to the sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow H^0(X, F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0,$$

one easily obtains an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, I) \cong \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, K)$. Then there exists a nontrivial morphism $\alpha : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow I$. The calculation used to prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) shows that α is surjective, so we get (5.3). □

Proof of Claim 5.3. We observe that the restriction of K to a general hyperplane section S is stable, using Hoppe's criterion. Indeed, we have $H^0(S, K) = 0$ by (5.7), while the group $H^0(S, \wedge^2 K)$ vanishes since it is a subgroup of $H^0(S, K) \otimes H^0(S, F) = 0$. Then from (2.2) it follows that $c_2(K) \geq 8$. This proves the first assertion.

Let us now show the second one. Tensoring (3.2) by $K(1)$, we are reduced to show the vanishing of the groups $H^2(X, K(1))$ and $H^1(S, K(1))$.

Looking at the first one, assume by contradiction that there exists a nontrivial extension of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \rightarrow \tilde{K} \rightarrow K(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\tilde{K}$ is a rank 4 vector bundle with $c_1(\tilde{K}) = 1$ and $c_2(\tilde{K}) < 0$. Then $\tilde{K}$ is not semistable by Bogomolov's inequality (2.1). By considering the possible values of the slope of a destabilizing subsheaf of $\tilde{K}$, one sees that Harder-Narasimhan filtration has the form $0 \subset K_1 \subset \tilde{K}$ and $Q = \tilde{K}/K_1$ is semistable, and $\mu(K_1)$ can be either $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{3}$. Then by Bogomolov's inequality we have $c_2(K_1) \geq 0$. In any case $c_1(Q) = 0$, so $c_2(Q) \geq 0$. This contradicts $c_2(\tilde{K}) < 0$.

Let us now turn to the group $H^1(S, K(1))$, and observe that it is dual to $\text{Ext}_S^1(K_S(1), \mathcal{O}_S)$. Assuming it to be nontrivial, we get a nonsplit exact sequence on S of the form:

$$(5.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \widetilde{K}_S \rightarrow K_S(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\widetilde{K}_S$ is a rank 4 vector bundle on S with $c_1(\widetilde{K}_S) = 2$ and $c_2(\widetilde{K}_S) \leq 25$. Then $\widetilde{K}_S$ is not stable by (2.2). This time one can check that the only possible destabilizing subsheaf K_1 must

have slope $\frac{1}{2}$. The same happens to $Q = \widetilde{K}_S/K_1$. By semistability of K_1 and Q one has

$$c_2(\widetilde{K}_S) = c_2(K_1) + c_2(Q) + 16 \geq 28,$$

a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *The map $\varphi : F \rightarrow \Phi^!(F)$ sends $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ to $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$. If the sheaf F is globally generated, then φ is a local isomorphism around F .*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{F} = \Phi^!(F)$. In view of (5.1) and Lemma 4.2, the map φ takes values in $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$. Assume now F globally generated. By Lemma 5.2 we have $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0$, so by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, -)$ to the resolution (4.7) we get (4.8), from which it follows that φ is injective at F .

Recall that $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0$ for $k = 2, 3$, and by Riemann-Roch we have $\chi(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0$. Thus we must also have:

$$\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0,$$

so $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. Therefore the map $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e_{\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F})$ is zero. Now, by the infinitesimal analysis of Lemma 4.5, the differential of φ at $[F]$ induces an isomorphism:

$$\text{Ext}_X^1(F, F) \cong \ker(\text{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e_{\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F})) = \text{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_\Gamma).$$

$\square$

Recall that we denote by A_L the 2 dimensional vector space $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_L)^*$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let L be a line contained in X . Then there is a natural injective map $\eta : \mathbb{P}(A_L) \hookrightarrow M_X(2, 1, 7)$ such that any sheaf F in the image of η sits into (5.4), for some sheaf I sitting in (5.3).*

Proof. Let us define the map $\eta : \mathbb{P}(A_L) \rightarrow M_X(2, 1, 7)$. In view of Lemma 3.17, for any element $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{P}(A_L)$, we have two alternatives:

- i) the map γ is surjective;
- ii) the image of the map γ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_C$, for some reducible conic $C \subset X$ which is the union of L and another line $L' \subset X$.

If (i) takes place, we define $\eta([\gamma])$ as the dual of $\ker(\gamma)$. This sheaf is easily seen to lie in $M_X(2, 1, 7)$. Note that this correspondence is one to one. Indeed, assuming $\eta([\gamma_1]) = \eta([\gamma_2])$, we would have $K_1 = \ker(\gamma_1) \cong K_2 = \ker(\gamma_2)$. But the isomorphism $K_1 \cong K_2$ would then lift to an isomorphism $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, for $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_L, \mathcal{U}) = 0$, indeed:

$$\text{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{I}_L, \mathcal{U}) = \text{ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{U}) = \text{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_L(-1)) = h^1(L, \mathcal{U}^*(-1)) = 0.$$

Since both $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{I}_L$ are simple, this would then mean that γ_1 is a multiple of γ_2 .

Assume now that (ii) takes place. We have thus an exact sequence of the form (3.20), with $\beta^\top = \gamma$. Since C contains L , we have:

$$(5.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L'} \rightarrow 0,$$

for some line $L' \subset X$. Dualizing (3.20) one obtains (3.14). Patching this with (5.10), we define a surjective map as the composition $\ker(\gamma)^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L'}$, and we let $\eta([\gamma])$ be the kernel of this map. Again, one proves easily that this sheaf lies in $M_X(2, 1, 7)$.

We prove now that η is injective also in this case. Let us take two maps γ_1, γ_2 in $\mathbb{P}(A_L)$ and let F be the sheaf representing $\eta(\gamma_1) = \eta(\gamma_2)$. Let $E = F^{**}$. We have $E/F = \mathcal{O}_{L'}$, for some line $L' \subset X$, and E lies in $M_X(2, 1, 6)$. By construction $\ker(\gamma_i) \cong E^*$, and $\text{Im}(\gamma_i) = \mathcal{I}_C$ for all

i , where the conic C is $L \cup L'$. Since $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}, E^*) = 0$ by Lemma 3.10, and since $\mathcal{U}$ is a simple sheaf, we conclude that γ_1 is proportional to γ_2 .

Finally, it is clear by the definition that in both cases (i) and (ii), the sheaf defined by $\eta([\gamma])$ sits into (5.4). $\square$

Lemma 5.6. *Let G be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$, and assume that G is not globally generated. Then the set of sheaves F in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ such that $\varphi(F) = \varphi(G)$ is identified with $\eta(\mathbb{P}(A_L))$, for some line $L \subset X$.*

The subscheme of those sheaves F which are not locally free, and satisfy $\varphi(F) = \varphi(G)$, has length 5.

Proof. In view of Lemma 5.2, there exists a line $L \subset X$ such that G is not globally generated over L , i.e. we have the exact sequence (5.4), with F replaced by G . Applying the functor $\Phi^!$ to this exact sequence, we get:

$$(5.11) \quad \varphi(G) = \Phi^!(G) \cong \Phi^!(\mathcal{O}_L(-1)) = \psi([L]),$$

where ψ is given by Proposition 3.14.

Since φ is a local isomorphism on the set of globally generated sheaves, any sheaf F with $\varphi(F) = \varphi(G)$ must not be globally generated. Dualizing (5.4) and (5.3) we obtain $F^* \cong I^*$ and:

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_X^1(I, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_X^1(F, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_X^1(I, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have here the following two alternatives.

- a) the sheaf F is locally free, and $\text{Im}(\delta) \cong \mathcal{I}_L$;
- b) we have $F/F^{**} \cong \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ for some line $L' \subset X$, and by (5.2) this implies:

$$F^{**} \in \mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6), \quad \text{Im}(\delta) \cong \mathcal{I}_C,$$

where the conic C is $L \cup L'$, and (5.12) becomes of the form (5.10).

We let γ be the restriction of δ to its image $\mathcal{I}_L$. Clearly, if (a) takes place, then F is isomorphic to $\eta([\gamma])$, and γ is as in case (i) of Lemma 5.5.

Similarly, if (b) takes place, then γ is as in case (ii) of Lemma 5.5, and F is isomorphic to $\eta([\gamma])$. The set of sheaves F which are not locally free and with $\varphi(F) = \varphi(G)$ is thus in natural bijection with the set of elements $[\gamma]$ in $\mathbb{P}(A_L)$ such that γ is not surjective. By Lemma 3.17, this is identified with the set of reducible conics which contain L , which has length 5. $\square$

We are now in position to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We have seen in Lemma 5.4 that φ is a local isomorphism along the open set of globally generated sheaves.

On the other hand, the map φ equips the subscheme of sheaves which are not globally generated with a structure of $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle over $W = \psi(\mathcal{H}_1^0(X))$. Indeed, if a sheaf G is not globally generated over a line L , by (5.11), $\varphi(G) = \psi([L])$ lies in W . Moreover by Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6, we have $\varphi(\eta([\gamma])) = \psi([L])$, for all $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{P}(A_L)$.

Thus, it only remains to provide a natural identification of the fibre of $\varphi(G)$ with the projectivized normal bundle of $W \subset \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ at the point $\psi([L])$. By Remark 3.15 and Proposition 3.14, the latter is canonically identified with $\mathbb{P}(A_L)$. On the other hand, by Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6, via the map η the former is also naturally identified with $\mathbb{P}(A_L)$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [AO94] VINCENZO ANCONA AND GIORGIO OTTAVIANI, *Stability of special instanton bundles on $\mathbf{P}^{2n+1}$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (1994), no. 2, 677–693.
- [AF06] ENRIQUE ARRONDO AND DANIELE FAENZI, *Vector bundles with no intermediate cohomology on Fano threefolds of type V_{22}* , Pacific J. Math. **225** (2006), no. 2, 201–220.
- [AHDM78] MICHAEL F. ATIYAH, NIGEL J. HITCHIN, VLADIMIR G. DRINFEL'D, AND YURI I. MANIN, *Construction of instantons*, Phys. Lett. A **65** (1978), no. 3, 185–187.
- [AW77] MICHAEL F. ATIYAH AND RICHARD S. WARD, *Instantons and algebraic geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **55** (1977), no. 2, 117–124.
- [Bar77] WOLF BARTH, *Some properties of stable rank-2 vector bundles on $\mathbf{P}_n$* , Math. Ann. **226** (1977), no. 2, 125–150.
- [BH78] WOLF BARTH AND KLAUS HULEK, *Monads and moduli of vector bundles*, Manuscripta Math. **25** (1978), no. 4, 323–347.
- [BF08a] MARIA CHIARA BRAMBILLA AND DANIELE FAENZI, *Vector bundles on Fano threefolds of genus 7 and Brill-Noether loci*, Arxiv preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0810.3138>, 2008.
- [BF08b] ———, *Moduli spaces of rank 2 ACM bundles on prime Fano threefolds*, Arxiv preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0806.2265>, 2008.
- [Bri99] TOM BRIDGELAND, *Equivalences of triangulated categories and Fourier-Mukai transforms*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), no. 1, 25–34.
- [CTT03] IUSTIN COANDĂ, ALEXANDER S. TIKHOMIROV, AND GÜNTHER TRAUTMANN, *Irreducibility and smoothness of the moduli space of mathematical 5-instantons over $\mathbb{P}_3$* , Internat. J. Math. **14** (2003), no. 1, 29–53.
- [Dru00] STÉPHANE DRUEL, *Espace des modules des faisceaux de rang 2 semi-stables de classes de Chern $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 2$ et $c_3 = 0$ sur la cubique de $\mathbf{P}^4$* , Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2000), no. 19, 985–1004.
- [GM96] SERGEI I. GELFAND AND YURI I. MANIN, *Methods of homological algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996, Translated from the 1988 Russian original.
- [GLN06] LAURENT GRUSON, FATIMA LAYTIMI, AND DONIHAKKALU S. NAGARAJ, *On prime Fano threefolds of genus 9*, Internat. J. Math. **17** (2006), no. 3, 253–261.
- [Har66] ROBIN HARTSHORNE, *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [Hop84] HANS JÜRGEN HOPPE, *Generischer Spaltungstyp und zweite Chernklasse stabiler Vektorraumbündel vom Rang 4 auf $\mathbf{P}_4$* , Math. Z. **187** (1984), no. 3, 345–360.
- [HL97] DANIEL HUYBRECHTS AND MANFRED LEHN, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, E31, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [Ili03] ATANAS ILIEV, *The Sp_3 -Grassmannian and duality for prime Fano threefolds of genus 9*, Manuscripta Math. **112** (2003), no. 1, 29–53.
- [IM07a] ATANAS ILIEV AND LAURENT MANIVEL, *Pfaffian lines and vector bundles on Fano threefolds of genus 8*, J. Algebraic Geom. **16** (2007), no. 3, 499–530.
- [IM00] ATANAS ILIEV AND DIMITRI MARKUSHEVICH, *The Abel-Jacobi map for a cubic threefold and periods of Fano threefolds of degree 14*, Doc. Math. **5** (2000), 23–47 (electronic).
- [IM04a] ———, *Elliptic curves and rank-2 vector bundles on the prime Fano threefold of genus 7*, Adv. Geom. **4** (2004), no. 3, 287–318.
- [IM07b] ———, *Parametrization of $\mathrm{Sing} \Theta$ for a Fano 3-fold of genus 7 by moduli of vector bundles*, Asian J. Math. **11** (2007), no. 3, 427–458.
- [IR05] ATANAS ILIEV AND KRISTIAN RANESTAD, *Geometry of the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\mathbf{LG}(3, 6)$ with applications to Brill-Noether loci*, Michigan Math. J. **53** (2005), no. 2, 383–417.
- [Isk78] VASILII A. ISKOVSKIĖ, *Fano threefolds. II*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **42** (1978), no. 3, 506–549, English translation in Math. U.S.S.R. Izvestija **12** (1978) no. 3, 469–506 (translated by Miles Reid).
- [IP99] VASILII A. ISKOVSKIĖ AND YURI. G. PROKHOROV, *Fano varieties*, Algebraic geometry, V, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 47, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 1–247.
- [KO03] PAVEL I. KATSYLO AND GIORGIO OTTAVIANI, *Regularity of the moduli space of instanton bundles $\mathrm{MI}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(5)$* , Transform. Groups **8** (2003), no. 2, 147–158.

- [Kuz96] ALEXANDER G. KUZNETSOV, *An exceptional set of vector bundles on the varieties V_{22}* , Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1996), no. 3, 41–44, 92.
- [Kuz05] ———, *Derived categories of the Fano threefolds V_{12}* , Mat. Zametki **78** (2005), no. 4, 579–594, English translation in Math. Notes **78**, no. 3-4, 537–550 (2005).
- [Kuz06] ———, *Hyperplane sections and derived categories*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **70** (2006), no. 3, 23–128.
- [MT01] DIMITRI MARKUSHEVICH AND ALEXANDER S. TIKHOMIROV, *The Abel-Jacobi map of a moduli component of vector bundles on the cubic threefold*, J. Algebraic Geom. **10** (2001), no. 1, 37–62.
- [Mar76] MASAKI MARUYAMA, *Openness of a family of torsion free sheaves*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **16** (1976), no. 3, 627–637.
- [Mar80] ———, *Boundedness of semistable sheaves of small ranks*, Nagoya Math. J. **78** (1980), 65–94.
- [Mar81] ———, *On boundedness of families of torsion free sheaves*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **21** (1981), no. 4, 673–701.
- [Mer01] VINCENT MERCAT, *Fibrés stables de pente 2*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), no. 5, 535–542.
- [Muk84] SHIGERU MUKAI, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), no. 1, 101–116.
- [Muk88] ———, *Curves, K3 surfaces and Fano 3-folds of genus ≤ 10* , Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. I, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988, pp. 357–377.
- [Muk89] ———, *Biregular classification of Fano 3-folds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **86** (1989), no. 9, 3000–3002.
- [Muk95] ———, *Curves and symmetric spaces. I*, Amer. J. Math. **117** (1995), no. 6, 1627–1644.
- [Muk01] ———, *Non-abelian Brill-Noether theory and Fano 3-folds [translation of Sūgaku **49** (1997), no. 1, 1–24; MR 99b:14012]*, Sugaku Expositions **14** (2001), no. 2, 125–153, Sugaku Expositions.
- [OSS80] CHRISTIAN OKONEK, MICHAEL SCHNEIDER, AND HEINZ SPINDLER, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 3, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1980.
- [Ott88] GIORGIO OTTAVIANI, *Spinor bundles on quadrics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **307** (1988), no. 1, 301–316.
- [TiB91a] MONTSERRAT TEIXIDOR I BIGAS, *Brill-Noether theory for stable vector bundles*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), no. 2, 385–400.
- [TiB91b] ———, *Brill-Noether theory for vector bundles of rank 2*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **43** (1991), no. 1, 123–126.
- [Wei94] CHARLES A. WEIBEL, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

E-mail address: brambilla@dipmat.univpm.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE, VIA BRECCIE BIANCHE, I-60131 ANCONA - ITALIA

URL: <http://www.dipmat.univpm.it/~brambilla>

E-mail address: daniele.faenzi@univ-pau.fr

UNIVERSITÉ DE PAU ET DES PAYS DE L'ADOUR, AV. DE L'UNIVERSITÉ - BP 576 - 64012 PAU CEDEX - FRANCE

URL: <http://www.univ-pau.fr/~faenzi/>